organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Rui Yang, Lei Nie, Mei-Su Zhang, Juan Wang and Jun Lin*‡

School of Chemical Science and Engineering, Key Laboratory of Medicinal Chemistry for Natural Resources (Ministry of Education), Yunnan University, Kunming 650091, People's Republic of China

‡ Alternative address: State Key Laboratory of Elemento-organic Chemistry, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, People's Republic of China.

Correspondence e-mail: linjun@ynu.edu.cn

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 273 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.003 Å R factor = 0.036 wR factor = 0.101 Data-to-parameter ratio = 11.2

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

The title compound, $C_{26}H_{31}NO_3$, was prepared with high stereoselectivity *via* asymmetric Michael addition, and characterized by NMR, HRMS and FT–IR spectroscopic analysis, and by single-crystal X-ray diffraction. All bond lengths and angles are within expected ranges and the absolute configuration is as expected.

Comment

Chirality is widely expressed in organic materials, perhaps most notably in biological molecules such as DNA, and in proteins, owing to the homochirality of their components (Dsugars and L-amino acids). Hruby and co-workers have prepared a series of the corresponding four diastereoisomers of β -methyl/isohexyl α -amino acid (Lin *et al.*, 2005; Liao *et al.*, 1996, 1997; Shenderovich *et al.*, 1995) *via* asymmetric Michael addition and other reactions. When we attempted to synthesize this kind of α -amino acid, crystals of the title compound, (2), were accidentally obtained as the intermediate of the target molecule. Using optically pure (4*S*)-5,5-dimethyl-4phenyloxazolidinone as an auxiliary, the asymmetric Michael addition of non-hindered Grignard reagents in the presence of CuBr·SMe₂ could be carried out with high stereoselectivity and in high optical purity (de > 90%).



Compound (2) is an enantiomeric product obtained from the asymmetric Michael addition (see scheme).

An X-ray crystal structure of (2) has been determined and the molecular structure is shown in Fig. 1. These results suggested that in the asymmetric synthesis the β -carbon configuration was induced from the Si-face, presumably because the Re-face was shielded by the phenyl ring of the oxazolidinone in the Michael reaction transition state (Low *et al.*, 1995), and when the 4-carbon configuration of the auxiliary is *S*, the β -carbon configuration is *R*. Selected bond lengths and angles are given in Table 1. The bond lengths around the chiral atom C20 range from 1.473 (2) to 1.548 (3) Å, and the C--C bond lengths at chiral atom C7 range from 1.520 (3) to 1.542 (3) Å. The dihedral angle between the C20/N1/C16/O3/ C17 mean plane and the substituted phenyl ring C21-C26 is 80.26 (7)°. There are no significant intermolecular interactions such as hydrogen bonding or π - π stacking.

© 2006 International Union of Crystallography All rights reserved Received 23 April 2006

Accepted 1 May 2006

Experimental

To a solution of (4S,2E)-5,5-dimethyl-4-phenyl-3-(3-phenylacryloyl)oxazolidin-2-one, (1) (1 mmol, 1.0 equivalent), in freshly distilled tetrahydrofuran (THF, 5 ml) was added dropwise a mixture of C₆H₁₁MgBr (2 mmol, 2 equivalents) and CuBr·SMe₂ (128 mg, 0.6 equivalents) in THF (25 ml) at 195 K. The resulting mixture was stirred vigorously at 195 K for 15 min and at 273 K for 2 h, then slowly warmed to room temperature over a period of 1 h. The reaction was then quenched with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride (20 ml), and the product was extracted with diethyl ether (3 \times 20 ml). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (2 \times 20 ml) and water (20 ml), and dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate. After removal of ether by rotary evaporation, the crude product was purified by silica gel chromatography to give the pure compound (2). High resolution MS for $C_{26}H_{31}NO_3$: $[M + Na]^+$ calculated 428.2201; found: 428.2239; m.p. 403–404 K; $[\alpha]_D^{20} = 94.439$ $(c = 1.025, CHCl_3).$

Z = 4

 $D_x = 1.151 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

 $\mu = 0.07 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

T = 273 (2) K

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.028$

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 27.5^{\circ}$

Block, colourless

 $0.30 \times 0.28 \times 0.26 \ \mathrm{mm}$

20759 measured reflections

3028 independent reflections

2408 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Crystal data

 $\begin{array}{l} C_{26}H_{31}NO_{3}\\ M_{r}=405.52\\ \text{Orthorhombic, } P2_{1}2_{1}2_{1}\\ a=10.1004 \ (2) \ \text{\AA}\\ b=14.8636 \ (3) \ \text{\AA}\\ c=15.5876 \ (3) \ \text{\AA}\\ V=2340.14 \ (8) \ \text{\AA}^{3} \end{array}$

Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD area-detector diffractometer φ and ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Bruker, 1998) $T_{\rm min} = 0.977, T_{\rm max} = 0.977$

Refinement

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Refinement on } F^2 & w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_{\rm o}^2) + (0.0522P)^2 \\ R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.036 & w + 0.179P] \\ wR(F^2) = 0.101 & where \ P = (F_{\rm o}^2 + 2F_{\rm c}^2)/3 \\ S = 1.03 & (\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001 \\ 3028 \ reflections & \Delta\rho_{\rm max} = 0.10 \ e \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ 271 \ parameters & \Delta\rho_{\rm min} = -0.11 \ e \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ \mbox{H-atom parameters constrained} \\ \end{array}$

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

N1-C20	1.473 (2)	C7-C8	1.542 (3)
C1-C7	1.520 (3)	C20-C21	1.517 (3)
C7-C14	1.540 (3)		
C15-N1-C20	120.88 (16)	C9-C8-C7	111.06 (17)
C16-N1-C20	110.29 (16)	C13-C8-C7	113.24 (16)
C2-C1-C7	120.88 (18)	C15-C14-C7	110.69 (17)
C6-C1-C7	121.29 (18)	N1-C20-C21	112.43 (16)
C1-C7-C14	110.41 (15)	N1-C20-C17	99.74 (15)
C1-C7-C8	111.80 (15)	C21-C20-C17	115.83 (15)
C14-C7-C8	112.03 (16)		





In the absence of significant anomalous dispersion effects, Freidel pairs were merged; the absolute configuration was assigned on the basis of the known configuration of the starting material. All H atoms were placed in idealized positions and refined as riding, with C–H distances in the range 0.93–0.98 Å and with $U_{\rm iso}(\rm H) = 1.2$ or 1.5 times $U_{\rm eq}(\rm C)$.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 1998); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 1998); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Bruker, 1998); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation of China (grant Nos. 20472071 and 20562014) and the Open Foundation of the State Key Laboratory of Elemento-Organic Chemistry, Nankai University (grant No. 0405), and was partially supported by the Centre for Advanced Studies of Medicinal and Organic Chemistry of Yunnan University.

References

Bruker (1998). SMART, SAINT, SADABS and SHELXTL. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

- Liao, S., Han, Y. L., Qiu, W., Bruck, M. & Hruby, V. J. (1996). *Tetrahedron Lett.* **37**, 7917–7920.
- Liao, S., Shenderovich, M., Lin, J. & Hruby, V. J. (1997). Tetrahedron, 53, 16645–16662.
- Lin, J., Liao, S. & Hruby, V. J. (2005). J. Peptide Res. 65, 105-112.
- Low, B. S., Li, G., Lung, F. D. & Hruby, V. J. (1995). J. Org. Chem. 60, 5509– 5514.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Shenderovich, M., Liao, S. & Hruby, V. J. (1995). J. Org. Chem. 60, 5509-5514.